Праці НТШ Медичні науки 2019, Tom 55, № 1 ISSN 2708-8634 (print) www.mspsss.org.ua Proc Shevchenko Sci Soc Med Sci 2019, Vol. 55, 1 ISSN 1563-3950

www.mspsss.org.ua

До питання цілісної клінічної інтерпретації психічних розладів при пандемії SARS-CoV-2: експертна думка

Олександр Фільц¹, Олег Фітькало¹, Оксана Лизак¹, Олег Березюк¹, Ольга Мишаківська¹, Людмила Самсонова², Альфред Прітц³

- ¹ Львівський національний медичний університет імені Данила Галицького, Львів, Україна
- ² Комунальне некомерційне підприємство Львівської обласної ради «Львівський обласний клінічний психоневрологічний диспансер», Львів, Україна
- ³ Факультет психотерапевтичних наук, Університет імені Зиґмунда Фройда, Відень, Австрія

Резюме. З прогресуванням пандемії COVID-19 спостерігається зростання проблем з психічним здоров'ям, що вимагає дедалі більшої уваги клініцистів. Саме ці проблеми призводять до основних порушень соціальної адаптації, передусім через розлади, розподілені на три «кластери»: тривалу астенію (втому) з когнітивними порушеннями, тривожні розлади з розладами сну та депресії. Останні виявляються також в осіб, які не хворіли на SARS-CoV-2, та оцінюються як результат впливу стресу внаслідок пандемії. Щоб успішно подолати наслідки пандемії необхідно випрацювати цілісну клінічну інтерпретацію психічних розладів, пов'язаних із коронавірусною інфекцією COVID-19. Запропонована у нашому дослідженні модель охопила б усі вищезазначені прояви в такий спосіб: а) для загальної популяції — тріадою «нозогенних реакцій» з надмірним (гіпер-), нормальним (нормо-) або іґноруючим (гіпо-нозогностичним) психологічним реагуванням на стрес, пов'язаний зі смислом та особистою значимістю діагнозу «SARS-CoV-2» (нозосом); б) для лонг COVID: біопсихосоціальною моделлю, як типовою комбінацію нейротоксичної астенії з когнітивними порушеннями за К. Бонгофером (нейробіологічний фактор), яка посилює «нозогенну» тривогу і порушення сну (психологічний фактор), що в низці випадків провокує депресію (як фактор соціальної дезадаптації).

Ключові слова: SARS-CoV-2, лонг COVID-19, нозогенні стресові розлади, нейротоксична астенія (Бонгофера), когнітивні розлади, депресія, цілісна клінічна концептуалізація.

DOI: 10.25040/ntsh2021.01.15

Для листування:

вул. Переяславська, 23, м.Харків, 61016, Україна

Е-пошта: filz.uuap@gmail.com

Стаття надійшла: 9.04.2021 Прийнята до друку: 29.05.2021 Опублікована онлайн: 29.06.2021



Олександр Фільц, Олег Фітькало, Оксана Лизак, Олег Березюк, Ольга Мишаківська, Людмила Самсонова, Альфред Прітц,

2021

ORCID IDs

Oleksandr Orestovych Filts, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5350-8305 Oleh Stepanovych Fitkalo,

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6321-9518 Oksana Lyubomyrivna Lyzak,

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3677-2606 Oleh Romanovych Berezyuk,

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4554-4928 Olha Mykhaylivna Myshakivska,

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6699-3832 Lyudmyla Oleksiyivna Samsonova,

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2943-0261

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0480-7381

Конфлікт інтересів: Автори декларують, що немає конфлікту інтересів.

Особистий внесок авторів:

Концепція: Олександр Фільц Результати досліджень: Олександр Фільц, Олег Фітькало, Людмила Самсонова, Олег Березюк, Альфред Прітц

Написання статті: Олександр Олег Фітькало, Оксана Лизак, Ольга Мишаківська, Альфред Прітц

Редагування та затвердження остаточного варіанту статті: Олександр Фільц, Оксана Лизак, Ольга Мишаківська

Фінансування: Проведено у межах науково-дослідної роботи кафедри психіатрії і психотерапії ФПДО Львівського національного медичного університету імені Данила Галицького (м. Львів, Україна) за темою наукового дослідження "Особливості клінічного поліморфізму коморбідних станів в психіатрії та наркології", № держреєстрації 0119U100172.

155N 2708-8642 (online) 2021, Vol. 64, 1 Current news

OPEN BACCESS

DOI: 10.25040/ntsh2021.01.15

For correspondence:

Актуально

69 Pekarska Str, Lviv, 79010, Ukraine Е-пошта: filz.uuap@gmail.com

Received: Apr, 9, 2021 **Accepted:** Apr, 29, 2021

Published online: June, 29, 2021



© Oleksandr Filts, Oleh Fitkalo, Oksana Lyzak, Oleh Berezyuk, Olha Myshakivska, Lyudmyla Samsonova, Alfred Pritz, 2021

ORCID IDs

Oleksandr Filts.

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5350-8305 Oleh Fitkalo,

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6321-9518 Oksana Lyzak

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3677-2606 Oleh Berezyuk.

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4554-4928

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6699-3832 Lyudmyla Samsonova,

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2943-0261

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0480-7381

Disclosures. The authors declared no conflict of interest

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Oleksandr Filts
Results of study: Oleksandr Filts, Oleh
Fitkalo, Lyudmyla Samsonova, Oleh
Berezyuk, Alfred Pritz

Writing — original draft: Oleksandr Filts, Oleh Fitkalo, Oksana Lyzak, Olha Myshakivska, Oleh Berezyuk, Alfred Pritz Writing — review and editing: Oleksandr Filts, Oksana Lyzak, Olha Myshakivska

Funding.

the study was carried out as part of the research of the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy of the Faculty of Postgraduate Education of Danylo Halytsky National Medical University in Lviv, Ukraine, titled 'Features of clinical polymorphism of comorbid states in psychiatry and narcology', N of state registration 0119U100172...

Toward a cohesive clinical interpretation of mental disorders in SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: An expert opinion

Oleksandr Filts¹, Oleh Fitkalo¹, Oksana Lyzak¹, Oleh Berezyuk¹, Olha Myshakivska¹, Lyudmyla Samsonova², Alfred Pritz³

¹ Danylo Halytsky National Medical University, Lviv, Ukraine ² Municipal Non-profit Enterprise of Lviv Regional Council «Lviv Regional Clinical Psychoneurological Dispensary» ³ Sigmund Freud University, Vienna, Austria

Abstract. As the COVID-19 pandemic progresses, the observed increase in mental health issues requires more and more clinical attention. Mental disorders have become a major cause for disturbances in social adjustment, primarily due to disorders that fall into three clusters: prolonged fatique (asthenia) with cognitive impairment; anxiety disorders with sleep disorders; and depression. The last two are also found in individuals who have not contracted SARS-CoV-2; they are seen as a result of their exposure to the stress of the pandemic. Therefore, to successfully manage the consequences of the pandemic, it is necessary to develop a cohesive clinical interpretation of mental disorders related to COVID-19 infection. Our proposed model would encompass all the above manifestations as follows: a) for the general population — by the triad of 'nosogenic reactions' with excessive (hyper-), normal (normo-) or ignoring (hyponosognostic) psychological responses to stress related to the semantics and individual significance of the SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis (nosos); b) for long COVID — by the biopsychosocial model as a typical combination of neurotoxic asthenia with cognitive impairment (Bonhoeffer's neurobiological factor) that exacerbates 'nosogenic' anxiety and sleep disorders (psychological factor) and thus provokes a depressive response (as a social maladaptive factor).

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, long COVID-19, nosogenic stress-related disorders, neurotoxic asthenia (Bonhoeffer), cognitive disorders, depression, clinical conceptualization.

The **objective** of this report is to propose an integral interpretation of the entire range of mental disorders in COVID-19 found in existing clinical studies. The authors explored the following issues: a) why COVID-19 pandemic is accompanied by a particular spectrum of mental and psychological disorders; c) which clinical interpretation of such disorders is proposed in the available studies; and (c) wheth-

er there exists a cohesive clinical rationale for these disorders, which range from anxiety and depression in the general population [1] to typical manifestations of long COVID.

Methods: the academic part comprised a review of Pubmed literature on mental disorders in the case of COVID-19, starting from January 2020, with emphasis on a) epidemi-

Актуально

Current news

ological indicators in patients uninfected with COVID, who manifested typical stress-dependent psychological reactions to any information about COVID-19 diagnosis; b) mental disorders during the acute phase of the disease; c) mental disorders in long COVID.

The clinical part included: a) in the psychotherapeutic setting - an in-depth description of the development of stress-dependent disorders in patients who did not contract COVID-19, but were admitted as patients with complaints of anxiety, insomnia, or depression; c) in consultations - an analysis of manifestations of long COVID for their cohesive clinical qualification, with consideration of the published data; d) discussion of the data obtained from psychodynamic therapy and clinical consultations with a culturally independent expert psychoanalyst - Professor Alfred Pritz, Honorary Professor of Danylo Halytsky National Medical University in Lviv and our long-standing partner in educational and research projects.

Literature review: general population. Jiagi Xiong et al. (2020) who analyzed the population-based mental health studies at the *onset of the pandemic* in 7 countries pointed out relatively high levels of anxiety (6.33% to 50.9%), depression (14.6% to 48.3%), PTSD (7% to 53.8%), psychological stress (34.43% to 38%), and overall stress (8.1% to 81.9%). The risk groups for these disorders during the pandemic include women, individuals under 40, students, the unemployed, and individuals with preexisting health issues, both physical and mental; constant preoccupation with news about COVID-19 in the media *contributed to the risk* [2].

A recent longitudinal study of mental health [3] showed that the proportion of respondents with anxiety symptoms in 2020 increased to 20.1% from 17.3% in 2014-2019. Schäfer et al. (2020) [4] assessed psychopathological symptoms and adaptability before and after the outbreak of COVID-19, as well as traumatic distress associated with COVID-19 in a sample of German-speaking population: only 10% of respondents experienced a clinically significant increase in psychopathological symptoms, and 15% met the threshold criteria for traumatic distress associated with COVID-19. More importantly, however, two groups with different responses to stress were identified. The

group with an *intense* response to the stress experienced an increase in psychopathological symptoms and a decrease in adaptability, while the group with *lower levels* of response to the stress experienced reverse symptoms.

Based on the findings from 84 studies in 2021, MB Stein [5] provides the resulting data on the characteristics of psychiatric symptoms in the general population. This data does not differ significantly from the 2020 data listed above: a) distress and PTSD were present in up to 36%; b) depression – in 17%; c) distress – in 14%; d) PTSD symptoms – in 7%. The author does not summarize the data on sleep disorders and anxiety, although other studies pay special attention to these two categories. This may be due to the fact that the author views anxiety as a manifestation of distress (up to 36%).

A recent study on the epidemiology of mental disorders in the general population conducted by Castadelli-Maia JM et al. [6] indicates a significant increase in anxiety disorders (up to 18% in Asia and up to 29% outside Asia and Europe) and depression (16% in China, 26% in Europe, and 39% in other non-Asian countries). The authors believe that lower levels of depression and anxiety found in Asian countries could be culture-dependent.

Taking into account the findings of other recent reviews [7,8], it can be summarized that the incidence of anxiety, depressive disorders, stress reactions, and sleep disorders in the general population exceeds the pre-pandemic one by 2 to 2.5 times. All authors in one way or another emphasize the role of *information pressure and psychological distress* associated with severe consequences of infection, isolation, and panic.

We did not specifically analyze the **acute phase** of COVID-19, although currently available literature data [5] make it clear that all registered syndromes of mental disorders fully correspond to the well-known concept of exogenous organic syndromes by K. Bonhoeffer, 1917). As a reminder: this concept deals with typical and nonspecific syndromes undetermined by a specific cause of brain damage (infections, intoxications, tumors, etc.): *delirium; memory disorder; disturbance of attention and thinking; paroxysmal states with possible disturbances of consciousness; hallucinations;*

Актуально

Current news

and asthenia. In fact, it is a case of an 'acute cerebropathic disorder' in one of the listed options or even a combination of several of them. This clinical concept does not only account for the characteristic types of all mental disorders in COVID-19 (as well as the entire group of SARS infections) [5] but envisages an acute and temporary course of the above disorders.

Long COVID. It is now clear that the pandemic is having a negative, long-term impact on the mental health of individuals who contract COVID-19. Such patients mostly seek medical help for asthenia, attention disturbances and minor short-term memory disorders, anxiety-phobic disorders, and depression. Within 14 to 90 days after being diagnosed with COVID-19, 18% of the patients sought help from a psychiatrist; in 5.8% of those cases, symptoms of asthenia, anxiety, and depression were revealed for the first time. A study conducted during the initial outbreak of COVID-19 in China found: 53.8% of respondents rated the psychological impact of the pandemic as moderate or severe; 16.5% reported moderate to severe symptoms of depression; 28.8% — moderate and severe anxiety symptoms; 8.1% — medium and significant levels of stress [1].

More recent studies focusing on long-term consequences of COVID-19 [9, 10] note that a number of patients who contracted even mild forms of COVID-19 experienced long-term mental consequences that were also associated with direct lesions of the nervous system, such as intracranial hemorrhage and ischemic stroke. Psychiatric disorders were noted in 5.8% of individuals with no history of mental illnesses. Asthenia was the most common condition: individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV2 felt exhausted, suffered from apathy over the course of 3 to 4 months, and were unable to fully resume working. In particular, in a comparative study of neurological and mental disorders in the case of COVID-19 by Taquet M. et al. [10], it was shown that within 6 months after the acute phase of the disease, patients who had contracted COVID-19 demonstrated a highly significant increase in all types of mental disorders.

Chronic fatigue or asthenia is proved to be the most frequent long-term consequence of COVID-19 among all mental disorders found in COVID-19 (long COVID) [11]. The next most frequent findings include anxiety and depressive disorders, as well as cognitive impairment. The authors also note that, compared to neurological disorders, common psychiatric disorders (mood and anxiety disorders) showed a weaker relationship with markers of COVID-19 severity in terms of incidence or hazard ratios. They believe that their occurrence reflects, at least partly, the influence of psychological implications on COVID-19 diagnosis – rather than being a direct manifestation of the illness.

Clinical conceptualization. In terms of clinical conceptualization of psychopathological presentations induced by the COVID-19 pandemic, only two studies involving a unified clinical interpretation of pandemic-related mental disorders can be cited [12, 13].

In one of these studies analyzing the self-assessment data of 265 patients a month after discharge from hospital (all male samples, average age of 56 years) during the «second wave» of the pandemic in 2020, authors conclude that psychiatric consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be caused both by the immune response to the virus itself, and by psychological stressors such as social isolation, the psychological impact of a novel severe and potentially fatal illness, concerns about infecting others, and stigma [12]. However, the impact of each of these factors remains unclear.

In the other study, the authors discuss the possibility of 'infectious' triggering or even pathogenetic conditioning of all classes of mental disorders, including schizoaffective psychosis and schizophrenia [13].

Therefore, we believe that our attempt to formulate a holistic cohesive clinical interpretation of COVID-19-related psychopathology may be useful for the understanding of the full range of mental disorders observed during the pandemic.

Psychotherapeutic setting. Since January 2020, in the inpatient department of Lviv Clinical Psychoneurological Dispensary of our clinic, 22 patients (19 women, 3 men) were treated for anxiety disorders or depression by a combination of medical treatment and psychotherapy. Seven patients had contracted COVID-19, while 15 patients were uninfected. The psycho-

Актуально

Current news

therapeutic diagnosis was established according to the principles of operationalized psychodynamic diagnosis (OPD-2) [14]. OPD-2 is a standardized procedure of psychodynamic diagnosing of the patients' attitude toward the disease, personality structure, and basic conflicts and relationships verified according to ICD-10 criteria. In our case, it involved an analysis of psychological factors that may trigger anxiety or depressive reactions to COVID-19 diagnosis and its possible adverse effects. The analysis of self-reports of these patients shows that all cases of anxiety, depressive, or combined anxiety and depressive disorders are associated with excessive psychological response to the meaning of COVID-19 diagnosis.

As early as in 1992, based on the study of psychological responses to the diagnosis of 'myocardial infarction', a specific set of 'nosogenies' - psychological responses to the significance of a dangerous diagnosis conveyed to patients (such as heart attack, cancer, etc.) was described (co-authored by O. Filts. 1992) [15]). These responses comprise a spectrum with extremes of excessive, 'exaggerated', and 'unreasonable' psychopathological reactions of anxiety, depression, or depression in combination with hypochondriasis, at one end, and the opposite behaviors of ignoring the actual danger associated with a serious diagnosis, at the other. The first type of response is called hypernosognosia (exaggerated perception of the diagnosis), and the second - hyponosognosia (ignoring the diagnosis). Hypernosognosia may include hypochondriasis but has a wider context consisting of, besides symptoms and general health condition, social, interpersonal, adjustment and other aspects related to the perception of disease by patients.

All of the examined patients with anxiety, depressive, or combined anxiety and depressive disorders reported a hypernosognostic response to the diagnosis of COVID-19 at the time of the study. In self-reports of uninfected patients, the hypernosognostic response clearly correlated with the fear of the possible fatal outcome, gradually increased in line with the information pressure, and eventually reached clinical significance, with reduced social and occupational adjustment and a need for inpatient treatment. In patients with a history of COVID-19 (N=7), we observed the *following dynamics*: anxious hy-

pernosognostic response to probable (although virtually absent) threats associated with COVID diagnosis caused a disability of temporary but unpredictable duration, triggering a subsequent development of a depressive disorder (usually with sleep disorders). Finally, in 3 out of 15 patients who previously ignored the danger of COVID-19 diagnosis, the very fact of the established diagnosis provoked an acute anxiety hypernosognostic response.

During further **clinical consultations** with psychiatrists, psychotherapists, and clinical psychologists, we found that in patients seeking consultation or treatment for encephalopathic, asthenic and mild cognitive (decreased concentration and episodes of fixation amnesia) manifestations of long COVID, hypernosognostic *anxiety* subjectively *exacerbated* the above manifestations and significantly prolonged maladaptation, which in turn exacerbated *depression* symptoms.

To sum up:

- The concept of nosogenies, as well as hyper- and hypo-nosognostic responses to the disease, is a useful tool in evaluating mental disorders and psychological responses both in the general population and in patients who contracted COVID-19 during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.
- 2. In the general population, hypernosognostic responses, triggered primarily by information pressure regarding the immediate threats and consequences of COVID-19, including mandatory social distancing and lockdown periods, contribute to the significant increase in anxiety, depressive, stress-related, and sleep disorders in the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic; conversely, hypo-nosognostic responses result in irresponsible behavior, including the violation of quarantine requirements.
- 3. Symptoms of long COVID are consistent with the biopsychosocial model of clinical manifestations of mental disorders: K. Bonhoeffer's neurotoxic encephalopathic disorders and mainly asthenic and mild cognitive disorders (biological factor), exacerbated by information-nosogenic anxiety (psychological factor), which in turn significantly reduces the adaptive capacity (social factor) causing depressive disorders. All three factors form a 'pathological vicious circle'.

Proc Shevchenko Sci Soc Med Sci www.mspsss.org.ua ISSN 2708-8642 (online) 2021, Vol. 64, 1

Current news

References

- 1. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X. et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17(5): 1729.
- 2. Jiaqi Xiong, Orly Lipsitz, Flora Nasri, Leanna M.W. Lui, Hartej Gill, Lee Phan, David Chen-Li, Michelle Iacobucci,c Roger Ho, Amna Majeed, and Roger S. McIntyre. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2020 Dec 1; 277: 55–64. Published online 2020 Aug 8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001
- 3. Leila Ramiz, Benjamin Contrand, Madelyn Yiseth Rojas Castro, Marion Dupuy, Li Lu, Catherine Sztal-Kutas, Emmanuel Lagarde. A longitudinal study of mental health before and during COVID-19 lock-down in the French population. Global Health. 2021; 17(1):29. doi: 10.1186/s12992-021-00682-8.
- Schäfer S.K. Sopp M.R. Schanz C.G. Staginnus M. Göritz A.S. Michael T Impact of COVID-19 on Public Mental Health and the Buffering Effect of a Sense of Coherence. Psychother Psychosom 2020;89:386–392 https://doi.org/10.1159/000510752
- 5. Murray B Stein COVID-19: Psychiatric illness. 2021 https://www.uptodate.com/contents/covid-19-psychiatric-illness.
- 6. Castaldelli-Maia JM, Marziali ME, Lu Z, Martins SS. Investigating the effect of national government physical distancing measures on depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic through meta-analysis and meta-regression. Psychol Med. 2021 Mar 2:1-13. doi: 10.1017/S0033291721000933.
- 7. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak ThA, McGuire Ph, Fusar-Poli P [et al.]. Psychiatric and neuro-psychiatric presentations associated with severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020; 7 (7): 611-27. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30203-0.Stein MB. COVID-19: Psychiatric illness / deputy ed. Solomon D. [Internet]. 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 19]. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/covid-19-psychiatric-illness.
- 8. Taquet M, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Harrison PJ. Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: retrospective cohort studies of 62 354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021 Feb; 8(2):130-40. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30462-4. 13.Bonhoefer K. Die exogenen Reaktionstypen. Arch Psychiat Nerven. 1917; 58:50-70.
- 9. Taquet M, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Harrison PJ. Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: retrospective cohort studies of 62 354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021 Feb; 8(2):130-140. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30462-4. Epub 2020 Nov 9.
- Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-Month Neurological and Psychiatric Outcomes in 236 379 Survivors of COVID-19: A Retrospective Cohort Study Using Electronic Health Records. Lancet Psychiatry [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 19]. Available from: https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2215-0366%2821%2900084-5.
- 11. Rodrigo da Rosa Mesquita, Luiz Carlos Francelino Silva Junior, Fernanda Mayara Santos Santana, Tatiana Farias de Oliveira, Rafaela Campos Alcântara, Gabriel Monteiro Arnozo, Etvaldo Rodrigues da Silva Filho, Aisla Graciele Galdino Dos Santos, Euclides José Oliveira da Cunha, Saulo Henrique Salgueiro de Aquino, Carlos Dornels Freire de Souza Clinical manifestations of COVID-19 in the general population: systematic review Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2020. Nov 26: 1-6. doi: 10.1007/s00508-020-01760-4. Online ahead of print.
- 12. Jayson Jeganathan, Michael Breakspear. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. The Lancet Psychiatry . 2021 DOI: 10.1016/s2215-0366(21)00084-5
- 13. Alexander L. Chu, Matthew Hickman, Nicholas Steel, Peter B. Jones, George Davey Smith, Golam M. Khandaker. Anxiety and depression in COVID-19 survivors: Role of inflammatory and clinical predictors.
- 14. Arbeitskreis OPD: Operationalisierte Psychodynamische Diagnostik OPD-2. Das Manual fuer Diagnostik und Therapieplanung. Huber, Bern, 2009, ISBN 978-3-456-84753-5.
- 15. Smulevych A.B., Fyl'ts A.O., Huseynov Y.H. y dr. K probleme nozohenyy (Towards the problem of nosogenies. V kn.: Ypokhondryya y somatoformnye rasstroystva (In book: Hypochondriasis and somatoform disorders). M., 1992: 111–23.